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Executive Summary

This review concerns February's housing data and the intent is to provide a thorough analysis of the existing conditions in the United States housing market. This commentary reports on the housing market and includes data for new home starts and sales, building permits, housing completions, and construction spending – including remodeling. Also included are comments concerning existing home sales, distressed homes, housing trends and projections, new home construction developments, employment, and conclusions.

February's data indicated a small decrease in housing starts. Multi-family housing increased and is still the driver for overall housing starts. New home sales were down slightly from January, permits increased slightly, housing completions decreased, and residential construction spending decreased.

Existing home sales are still “bottom-bouncing,” the quantity of available existing homes increased but remain the least since 2005. United States existing home prices are still declining year-over-year. Private investors are the main purchasers of foreclosures, bank REO homes, existing and new home sales – and they are increasing purchases.

The Eurozone sovereign debt and bank solvency situation may seem quiet at the moment – however, it should be noted that several analysts suggest that things are not as they appear.

Have we reached a bottom in housing? Perhaps, but a “bottom” is not a “recovery.” There are still many headwinds confronting the housing industry and it will likely be sometime (i.e., several years) before the winds are calmed.
Commentary on Housing

Opening Comments

Economic deterrents to a meaningful recovery are unchanged

- Federal and some State governments’ debt → still increasing
- Personal debt → January's revolving debt (mostly credit card debt) fell 4.4% to US $800.9 billion
- Student loan debt → now over US $1 trillion
- European sovereign debt crisis → more trouble ahead?
- European bank debt crisis → simmering for now
- China → Soft landing still the consensus

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce-NAICS 23, Construction
New Housing Starts

Commentary

February 2012: Total starts distressed, even with a warm winter:

698,000 starts SAAR: down -1.1% from a revised January estimate of 706,000

From February 2011 to February 2012 – an increase of 34.7%

Overall Starts:

Multi-family, chiefly 5 or more units, are still the driver in overall housing starts

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce-NAICS 23, Construction
New Housing Starts
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February 2012: Single-family (SF) starts → declining:

457,000 starts (SAAR): down 9.9% from a revised January estimate of 507,000

From February 2011 to February 2012 – an increase of 17.8%

SF Starts:

Still no relief in sight for hardwood and softwood sales →

Fourth consecutive year of historically low-start levels

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce-NAICS 23, Construction.
New Housing Starts
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February 2012 Multi-family (MF) starts – Volatile and increasing:

233,000 starts SAAR: 5+ MF units: up 28.7% from a downwardly revised January estimate of 181,000

From February 2011 to February 2012 – an increase of 108.0%

8,000 starts SAAR: 2-4 MF unit estimate for February

Much like the fact that China is essential for hardwood and softwood sales – MF housing is critical for overall housing starts

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce-NAICS 23, Construction.
A factor for increasing MF permits and starts:

Rental income was $3.5 billion in February – up from $3.2 billion in January.\(^1\) A product of a “Renter Nation” and escalating rents.

\(^1\) Joel Weisenthal; Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis; https://research.stlouisfed.org//fred2/graph/
New Housing Starts

Average monthly starts: February 2011 to February 2012

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce-NAICS 23, Construction.
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Housing Permits

February building permits – privately-owned housing: 717,000 units (SAAR)

5.1% above the revised January rate of 682,000
34.3% above the February 2011 estimate of 534,000

SF authorizations
472,000 SAAR: 4.9% above the revised January figure of 450,000

MF authorizations
5 units or more – 219,000 SAAR in February: 3.3% above January
2-4 units – 30,000 SAAR in February: 30.0% above January

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce-NAICS 23, Construction
Housing Completions

February completions – privately-owned:
  568,000 units (SAAR)
  6.2% above the revised January rate of 535,000
  -7.0% below the February 2011 estimate of 611,000

SF completions
  421,000: 8.2% above the revised January figure of 389,000

MF completions
  144,000: 4.3% above the revised January figure of 138,000

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce-NAICS 23, Construction
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Construction Spending

Private Construction: $246.45 million (SAAR) in February

0.03% above the downwardly revised January estimate of $246.39 billion (original estimate $253.64 million)

February SF construction: $111,538 million (SAAR)
January 2011 SF construction: $113,247 million (SAAR)

February MF construction: $16,851 million (SAAR)
January 2011 MF construction: $17,194 million (SAAR)

February Improvement\(^A\) construction: $117,722 million (SAAR)
January Improvement\(^A\) construction: $116,288 million (SAAR)

\(^A\) The US DOC does not report improvements directly, this is an estimation.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce-NAICS 23, Construction
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Construction Spending$^2$

Residential building:  February rose 3% to $140.6 billion (SAAR)

Most of the increase was in MF housing, which bounced back 10% after decreasing in January. SF housing increased 1% in February.

Residential building, on an unadjusted basis, rose 20% year-to-date, with MF housing up 23%. SF housing increased 20% from the beginning of 2011.

“While SF housing was able to show some gains towards the end of 2011, the early months of 2012 have seen that hesitant improving trend put on hold.”$^2$ -- Robert Murray, McGraw-Hill Construction

Source: $^2$http://dodge.construction.com/Analytics/marketdynamics/2012/mar_forecast.asp
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FEBRUARY 2012 CONSTRUCTION STARTS

MONTHLY SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION STARTS
Prepared by McGraw-Hill Construction Research & Analytics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>February 2012</th>
<th>January 2012</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nonresidential Building</td>
<td>$127,617</td>
<td>$137,710</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Building</td>
<td>140,575</td>
<td>136,298</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonbuilding Construction</td>
<td>107,760</td>
<td>128,452</td>
<td>-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL Construction</td>
<td>$375,952</td>
<td>$402,460</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

THE DODGE INDEX
(Year 2000=100, Seasonally Adjusted)
February 2012...........80
January 2012............85

YEAR-TO-DATE CONSTRUCTION STARTS
Unadjusted Totals, In Millions of Dollars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2 Mos. 2012</th>
<th>2 Mos. 2011</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nonresidential Building</td>
<td>$18,075</td>
<td>$21,751</td>
<td>-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Building</td>
<td>18,680</td>
<td>15,599</td>
<td>+20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonbuilding Construction</td>
<td>16,104</td>
<td>24,076</td>
<td>-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL Construction</td>
<td>$52,859</td>
<td>$61,426</td>
<td>-14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Residential Remodeling: January 2012

January’s residential remodeling permits: 2,998,000 SAAR

13% above December: 2,653,000 and
11% above the January 2011 estimate of 2,705,000

“Residential remodeling this winter is as strong as it has been in more than 5 years. We expect residential remodeling to continue to grow throughout 2012.”

-- Joe Emison, BuildFax, VP - R&D

| U.S. Remodeling Rates by Region: January 2012 (SAAR) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                | Permits | From December 2011 | From January 2011 |
| Northeast                       | 430,000 | down 7%            | up 12%             |
| South                           | 1,122,000 | up 17%         | up 6%             |
| Midwest                         | 595,000 | up 9%             | up 14%            |
| West                            | 852,000 | up 10%            | up 14%            |
| Total                           | 2,998,000 | up 13%         | up 11%            |
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Latest BFRI Release

BuildFax Remodeling Index
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New Single-Family Home Sales

February 2012 new SF home sales: 313,000 (SAAR)
-1.6% below the downwardly revised January rate of 318,000
11.4% above the February 2011 estimate of 281,000

New home sales: Still fragile, no increase, and historically weak

Have we reached a bottom in housing?
Perhaps, but there are analysts who state that until foreclosures clear the market, a bottom cannot be called.

We also know that a “housing bottom” and “housing recovery” are completely different entities.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce-NAICS 23, Construction
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New Home Sales

Median new home price in February: $233,700
Average February sale price: $267,700

Estimate of new homes for sale at February’s end:
150,000 (SAAR)

5.8 months inventory (median) at current sales rate – an increase of 2 months from January

Again, the lowest number of new homes on the market in 47 years

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce-NAICS 23, Construction
Commentary on Housing

February Existing Sales

National Association of Realtors (NAR) February 2012 sales data:

4.59 million (SAAR): A decrease of 0.9% from an upwardly revised 4.63 million in January

Existing housing inventory rose 4.3% to 2.43 million homes → a 6.4-month supply at the current sales pace (6.0 in January)

Contract cancellations:

31% in January 2012 vs. 9% in February 2011

“Home sales appears to be driven by the willingness-of-sellers to lower their prices rather than a broad-based increase in demand and buyer confidence. Unfortunately, difficulty in accessing financing and concerns over inventory are preventing buyers from entering the market in force.”

Source: ⁴NAR®, March 21 2012; ⁵www.radarlogic.com/research/special/Housing_is_a_Buyer%27s_Market-Mar_2012.pdf
“...Given the number of homes in each of these categories of potential inventory, the figure of 2+ million existing homes for sale probably understates the current supply overhang by millions of homes.

It is important to note that the large potential housing inventory does not need to be put up for sale in order to weigh on home prices. The mere perception of a large supply overhang reduces buyer confidence that home values will rise in the near future, and feeds fears of further declines.”

Source: ^5www.radarlogic.com/research/special/Housing_is_a_Buyer’s_Market-Mar_2012.pdf
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February Existing Sales

$156,600 median existing-home price, up 1.3% from January 2012

Distressed homes: 34% of sales - - (20% foreclosures and 14% short-sales) down from 35% in January and 39% in February 2011

All-cash sales: Unchanged at 33% (31% in January)
Investors account for the majority of cash purchases: 23%; no change from January and it was 20% in February 2011

First-time buyers: a decrease to 32% from 33% in January and 34% from February 2011

Source: NAR® – March 21 2012
Second Homes Sales: Highest Level Since 2005

Investor purchases of second home sales rose 64.5% in 2011:
1.23 million from 749,000 in 2010

Sales of vacation homes rose 7% to 502,000 from 469,000 in 2010

Second home sales: 38% of 2011 house sales
(27% investment homes and 11% vacation homes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region of Home Purchase</th>
<th>Primary Residences</th>
<th>Vacation Properties</th>
<th>Investment Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside the US</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NAR® – March 30, 2012
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Standard & Poor’s/Case-Shiller Home Price Indices

“2012 Home Prices Off to a Rocky Start”

Annual declines of 3.9% & 3.8% for the 10- & 20-City Composites

Both composites saw price declines of 0.8% in January

16 of 19 MSAs: Home prices decreased over the month

“FHFA House Price Index Unchanged in January”

December’s 0.7% increase revised downward; a 0.1% increase

For the 12 months ending in January, U.S. prices fell 0.8%

FHFA index: 19.2% < April 2007 peak; near February 2004 level

“In January 2012 the 10- and 20-City Composites had annual returns of -3.9% and -3.8%, respectively.”
January 2012: average home prices across the U.S. are back to early 2003 levels.

Source: Case-Shiller® and Case-Shiller Indexes® and Fiserv – March 27 2012
“For the past 40 years, the eligibility of homeownership has been supported by increasing leverage of the household balance sheet as well as significant federal sponsorship of financing that leverage. This approach worked as long as the value of the underlying asset - the house - rose consistently in price. However, that crucial assumption no longer can be taken with a probability of one.

Without the certainty of home price appreciation, the extensive leverage of the past decades does not work (see next slide). Supply and demand has also shifted as lenders are more stringent with credit and potential buyers worry about the asset’s value and how it may affect future mobility. Furthermore, the oversized ex-urban home has lost some of its allure with the rise in gas prices over time.”

John Silvia – Chief Economist, Wells Fargo Securities Economics Group
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Housing Starts Cycles
Percent Change from Cycle Peak

Note their projection of starts – if correct – it has huge implications.

Contrarian View of the Housing Market

In the past 3 months, prices increased in 90 of the 97 markets analyzed; the average price increase was 1.1% or a 4.5% annual rate. 93 of 97 markets analyzed showed appreciation in February. 

Source: 9John Burns RE Consulting, March 26, 2012
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Shadow Inventory, Delinquencies, and Foreclosures

+/- 65,000 completed foreclosures in February 2012
8% decline from January’s 71,000
66,000 in February 2011

Completed foreclosures year-over-year at February’s end: 862,000

In January, 8.4 out of every 10,000 homes were being liquidated, up from 8.1 per 10,000 in December.

Reason: banks increasing foreclosures after the “Robo-signing” settlement.

Source: ¹⁰CoreLogic®, March 15 and ¹¹Zillow, March 27: 2012
Shadow Inventory, Delinquencies, and Foreclosures

Foreclosure filings fell 8% year-over-year in February, with 206,900 properties receiving some form of filing.

Foreclosure activity is expected to increase 15% in 2012 vs. 2011\textsuperscript{12}

Shadow inventory: January 2012 - 1.4 million units (6-months’ supply)

On a year-over-year basis, Shadow Inventory decreased from January 2011's 1.5 million units.

New seriously delinquent loans (90 days or more) are going into Shadow Inventory -- offset by an approximately equal flow of distressed sales (short-sales and REO sales (real estate owned))\textsuperscript{13}.

Source: \textsuperscript{12}RealtyTrac March 2012 and \textsuperscript{13}CoreLogic\textsuperscript{®}, March 15 2012
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RealtyTrac Monthly Foreclosure Activity ('000)

Source: RealtyTrac and Zero Hedge April 4 2012
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Shadow Inventory, Delinquencies, and Foreclosures

“Nonprime lending during the housing boom was concentrated in what were called “exotic” mortgages with little down payment, initial “teaser” rates and, in some cases, negative amortization. However, since 2010, 65% of foreclosure starts have been associated with borrowers who took out 30-year fixed-rate amortizing mortgages (viewed by consumer advocates as the “safest” mortgage product) — up from 40% early in the crisis. Similarly, the prime borrowers who have entered foreclosure in the past several years have on average made a meaningful down payment of 20%.

A large foreclosure pipeline hangs over U.S. housing markets, creating headwinds for housing market recovery. What began as a nonprime mortgage problem has evolved into a prime mortgage problem with the onset of the recession. The inability to afford a home has been replaced by declining house prices and high unemployment as the primary driver of new foreclosures. Clearly, these changes have implications for the design of housing policy: By recognizing the shifting face of foreclosures, policymakers can make more informed choices about the most effective forms of intervention and the groups of borrowers that could best be served by them.”
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Employment

Full employment is not the only issue restraining home sales and prices: “I think the greater issue is that “new” jobs, in the aggregate, pay less than “old” jobs. Until that structural problem is fixed, housing prices will continue lower regardless of the unemployment rate.

The problem today is that employment is no longer rising as a function of the total population. Employment has improved but primarily in temporary and lower paying jobs which certainly isn't conducive for home ownership. One of the largest groups of potential home buyers coming up, 25-35 years of age, also has one of the highest unemployment rates. With tighter credit requirements, banks still flooded with delinquent properties and bad loans and stagnant wage growth being impacted by rising commodity costs – the “psychology” for individuals to buy homes remains suppressed.

It is crucially important to remember that the housing market is driven by those that are actively seeking to buy a home versus those with a “For Sale” sign in the front yard. With 1 in 4 homeowners underwater in their mortgage, employment dragging, incomes weak and outlooks poor – the swarm of demand necessary to create a real “recovery” in housing is going to be difficult.”

Source: 15 www.streettalkadvisors.com/daily-x-change/775.html, March 27 2012
Sentier Research analysis of unemployment and household income suggest consumer income is decreasing and U6 unemployment still remains elevated – not good news for any market.\textsuperscript{16}

Employment

From The Hamilton Project:

“As of February, our nation faces a jobs gap of 11.4 million jobs, 5.2 million from jobs lost since 2007, and another 6.1 million jobs that should have been created in the absence of the recession.”

“...to account for new entrants to the labor force ... a job creation rate of 208,000 per month (the average rate for the best year of job creation in the 2000s), it will take until 2020 to close the jobs gap.

“...a more optimistic rate of 321,000 jobs per month, which was the average monthly rate for the best year of job creation in the 1990s, the economy will reach pre-recession employment levels by April 2016 — not for another four years.

“Under even the most optimistic projections for employment growth, it will take many years to return to “normal”... .”
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The Evolution of the Jobs Gap To Date and in the Future Under Different Rates of Job Creation (Through Feb. 2012)

- Average monthly job creation for best year in 2000s (208,000 jobs per month in 2005)
- Average monthly job creation for best year in 1990s (321,000 jobs per month in 1994)
- Maximum number of jobs created in a month in 2000s (471,000 jobs in one month)
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“Most of the bubbles in the chart lie above the horizontal zero axis - positive growth territory”

“Most sector bubbles align along the $45^0$ axis, jobs in these areas are expanding (above and to the right of the 0 axes)”

Housing Market Projections

Demographic Trends: Urban Institute Study

Study projections include:

Total households, owners, and renters by age for the 2010 to 2030 time period in three growth scenarios -- low, medium, and high.

Highlights:
2020 homeownership rate: 63.1% to 65.4% (from 65.1% in 2010).

2020 homeowners: 79.8 to 86.0 million (76.0 million in 2010).

Number of renters: 45.6 to 46.6 million (40.7 million in 2010)”.19

Source: 19www.urban.org/publications/412520.html, March 9 2012
Housing Market Projections

Demographic Trends: Urban Institute Study

Demographic trends that will impact the still unfolding housing crisis and projects the number of homeowners and renters by age to 2030.

Some of key demographic trend projections...

“Older Americans will contribute increasingly to housing supply, just as the Baby Boom will swell the number of seniors in the next two decades, it will also swell the number of dwellings released into the housing market over the next 4-decades.

Millennial generation (Gen Y): drives home markets for the next 2-decades.

The volume of housing demand over the next 20 years, especially for owner-occupied housing, will depend heavily on the economic and housing policy environment that confronts Echo Boomers as they mature from young adulthood into middle age”19.
Housing Market Projections

Projected change in owner-occupied households, 2010 to 2020.¹⁹

Source: ¹⁹www.urban.org/publications/412520.html, March 9 2012
Housing Market Projections

Projected growth in owner-occupied and renter occupied households, 2010 to 2020.19

Source: 19 www.urban.org/publications/412520.html, March 9 2012
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New Home Size

Last month, new house size was reported to be trending to smaller dimensions — well, not so fast my friends.

Data from the US DOC has house dimensions trending upward:

From 2,367 ft$^2$ in 2009 to 2,505 ft$^2$ in the 4$^{th}$ quarter of 2011

What gives?

Given the economic situation and new lending requirements — the assumptions were that buyers who were employed, could afford a 20% down-payment and qualify for a loan — were building/purchasing larger houses.

With the limited number of new homes being built, it would not take a large quantity of “bigger” houses to skew the data.

These assumptions may have merit as the NAHB, in a recent interview with Claire Easley, posited similar statements.20

New Home Construction Developments

Average square feet increasing since Q4 2010

Trend or due to a limited number of larger homes skewing the data?

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce-NAICS 23, Construction
New Home Construction Developments

Average square feet by loan type

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce-NAICS 23, Construction
New Home Construction Developments

Median square feet by loan type

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce-NAICS 23, Construction
New Home Construction Developments

New Home Size – “Tiny” Homes

Continuing on the topic of home size – recently there have been several articles written about “Tiny” homes:

“I Can't Stop Looking at Photos of Absurdly Tiny Homes”
www.theatlanticcities.com/design/2012/03/i-cant-stop-looking-photos-tiny-homes/1443

“Smaller homes, urban lifestyles attractive to new homebuyers: ULI”
www.housingwire.com/article/smaller-homes-urban-lifestyles-attractive-new-homebuyers

“A growth spurt for tiny house trend”
www.chicagotribune.com/classified/realestate/sc-cons-0322-umberger-tinyhouses-20120323,0,5978638.column

“Project Focus: Little House in the Back Yard”
www.coastalcontractor.net/article/541.html

Is this a trend? Who knows, but it may bear watching to see if “Tiny” home starts measure up to their recent hype.
New Home Construction Developments

Construction Entrepreneurs

According to the *Wall Street Journal*:

More entrepreneurs are starting construction companies

Why? “…construction workers who lost their jobs during the slump in the housing market start their own businesses, taking advantage of a recent uptick in home remodeling and a modest boost in new home construction.”

“New entrepreneurs entering construction accounted for 24% of all U.S. entrepreneurial activity in 2011, a year when overall business creation dropped by about 6%, according to recent estimates by the Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation, a nonprofit that studies entrepreneurship.”

“The rate of entrepreneurial activity in construction was 1.7% in 2011, up from 1.6% in 2010 and 1.2% in 2007…”

Source: [http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052702304636404577295700982134714.html](http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052702304636404577295700982134714.html)
New Home Construction Developments

Construction Start-Ups Grow

The rate of new business creation in the construction industry has increased steadily in recent years, while the rate of business creation in the services industry has remained stagnant.

Kauffman Index of Entrepreneurial Activity*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>’96</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’05</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’10</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The percentage of the adult, non-business-owner population that starts a business each month, calculated using data from the Current Population Survey.

Source: Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation

The Wall Street Journal

Source: http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052702304636404577295700982134714.html
Of the construction industry sectors, housing has been the hardest hit and no relief appears in sight. Yes, there are pockets of improvements – but these in and of themselves are not sufficient at this point-in-time to propel us into a full-fledged housing recovery. We must ask, will housing return to the 1.5 to 1.6-million start levels? Or, will the “New Housing Normal” be significantly less?

February’s data – all monthly declines for housing starts, new home sales and existing home sales (decreased by 1.0%, 1.6% and 0.9%, respectively) were not statistically significant. Nor were the reported increases for other housing data. Since December 2008, housing starts have averaged 589,000 SAAR (monthly average = 49,100). February’s starts also are below any level reported since 1946.

According to several analysts, the foreclosure “fire sale” is just now getting underway. In addition, we have troublesome news from the New York Fed regarding the potential for “safe” or prime mortgage foreclosures. Obviously, we do not know the effect these sales will have on pricing or consumer psychology.
Conclusions

While recent monthly employment data indicates improvement, we need to know if these jobs pay well enough for consumers to purchase homes or are they lower paying jobs that only afford workers to become a member of the “Renter Nation?”

The good news in this month’s report is the continual increase in remodeling expenditures; both BuildFax and US DOC data indicate positive news for remodeling. And future projections bode well too.

As stated last month, JOBS are the key to a housing recovery – not just a job, but a well paying job. When this occurs, and housing prices regress to their historic mean – we should have the foundation for a housing recovery.

Next month we will report on China and the Eurozone. Both have significant implications for the housing industry – direct and indirect.

Finally, we would love to write this commentary with a “Pollyanna” bent, and we will when housing fundamentals indicate positive improvement. But at present, we prefer not to ‘sugarcoat’ the data and news and so we are presenting what we believe is a truthful description of current housing events.
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Disclaimer for External Links
The appearance of external hyperlinks does not constitute endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture of the linked web sites, or the information, products or services contained therein. Unless otherwise specified, the Department does not exercise any editorial control over the information you may find at these locations. All links are provided with the intent of meeting the mission of the Department and the Forest Service web site. Please let us know about existing external links you believe are inappropriate and about specific additional external links you believe ought to be included.

Nondiscrimination Notice
The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call 800.795.3272 (voice) or 202.720.6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.